

## Smirnov Domains and Conjugate Functions\*

PETER L. DUREN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48104*

*Communicated by Oved Shisha*

Received October 13, 1970

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR J. L. WALSH ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 75TH BIRTHDAY

Let  $f(z)$  map the unit disk  $|z| < 1$  conformally onto a domain  $D$  bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve  $C$ . Then  $f'$  belongs to the Hardy class  $H^1$ , so it has a canonical factorization of the form

$$f'(z) = e^{i\gamma} S(z) G(z). \tag{1}$$

Here  $\gamma$  is a real number;

$$S(z) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\sigma(t) \right\},$$

where  $\sigma$  is a bounded nondecreasing singular function:  $\sigma'(t) = 0$  a.e.; and

$$G(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log |f'(e^{it})| dt \right\}.$$

$D$  is called a *Smirnov domain* if  $S(z) \equiv 1$ ; that is, if  $d\sigma$  is the zero measure. This is a property only of  $D$ , not of  $f$  [1, Chapter 10].

Smirnov domains are known to play an important role in the theory of polynomial approximation and orthogonal expansion in the complex plane. If  $D$  is any Jordan domain, Walsh's theorem tells us that each function analytic in  $D$  and continuous in  $\bar{D}$  can be approximated uniformly in  $\bar{D}$  by a polynomial. However, the  $L^p$  analogue ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) of this theorem is true if and only if  $D$  is a Smirnov domain.

This last statement has several interpretations. To be more specific, we shall introduce some notation. Let  $D$  be the interior of a rectifiable

\* Supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Contract GP-19148.

Jordan curve  $C$ , and let  $L^p(C)$  be the class of complex-valued functions  $f$  for which  $|f(z)|^p$  is integrable over  $C$  with respect to arclength. Let  $L^\infty(C)$  be the class of bounded measurable functions on  $C$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $A^p(C)$  be the class of all  $f \in L^p(C)$  whose Cauchy integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

vanishes identically outside  $C$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$ , let  $E^p(D)$  be the class of functions  $f$  analytic in  $D$ , for which there is a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of rectifiable Jordan curves in  $D$ , tending to  $C$  in the sense that  $C_n$  eventually surrounds each compact subset of  $D$ , such that

$$\sup_n \int_{C_n} |f(z)|^p |dz| < \infty.$$

Let  $E^\infty(D)$  be the class of bounded analytic functions in  $D$ . Each  $f \in E^p(D)$  has a nontangential limit almost everywhere on  $C$ , and the boundary function belongs to  $L^p(C)$ . Let  $E^p(C)$  be the class of all such boundary functions. Finally, let  $\pi^p(C)$  be the closure in  $L^p(C)$  of the polynomials.

For any rectifiable Jordan curve  $C$ , it is clear that  $\pi^p(C) \subset E^p(C)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ . The question of equality is answered by the following theorem.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $C$  be a rectifiable Jordan curve, and let  $D$  be its interior. Then for each  $p$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\pi^p(C) = E^p(C)$  if and only if  $D$  is a Smirnov domain.*

This result is essentially due to Smirnov [10], who considered only the case  $p = 2$ . Keldysh [5] apparently was the first to state it for general  $p$ . A proof using Beurling's approximation theorem may be found in [1].

For any rectifiable Jordan curve  $C$ , it can be proved that  $E^1(C) = A^1(C)$ . This result also goes back to Smirnov [1, Theorem 10.4]. Since  $E^p(C) \subset E^1(C)$  for all  $p > 1$ , it follows at once that  $E^p(C) \subset A^p(C)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . It seems remarkable that for  $p > 1$ ,  $A^p(C)$  can actually be larger than  $E^p(C)$ . In fact, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $C$  be a rectifiable Jordan curve, and let  $D$  be its interior. Then for each  $p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $E^p(C) = A^p(C)$  if and only if  $D$  is a Smirnov domain.*

The proof is based on another theorem which is of independent interest. For  $H^p$  spaces in the unit disk, it is familiar that if  $f \in H^p$  and its boundary function belongs to  $L^q$  for some  $q > p$ , then  $f \in H^q$  [1, Theorem 2.11]. This statement can be generalized as follows.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $C$  be a rectifiable Jordan curve, and let  $D$  be its interior. Then for each pair  $(p, q)$  with  $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ ,  $E^p(C) \cap L^q(C) = E^q(C)$  if and only if  $D$  is a Smirnov domain.*

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $z = \varphi(w)$  map the unit disk  $|w| < 1$  conformally onto  $D$ , and suppose  $\varphi'(0) > 0$ . Let  $w = \psi(z)$  be the inverse mapping. Then  $f \in E^p(D)$  if and only if

$$F(w) = f(\varphi(w))[\varphi'(w)]^{1/p} \in H^p$$

[1, p. 169]. If  $f \in L^q(C)$ , then

$$F_1(w) = F(w)[\varphi'(w)]^{1/q-1/p} = f(\varphi(w))[\varphi'(w)]^{1/q}$$

has a boundary function of class  $L^q$ . But if  $D$  is a Smirnov domain, then  $\varphi'$  has no singular factor, and  $F_1 \in N^+$  [1, p. 26]. Thus [1, Theorem 2.11]  $F_1 \in H^q$ , which proves  $f \in E^q(D)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $D$  is not a Smirnov domain. Let  $\varphi' = SG$  be the canonical factorization of the form (1), and consider the function

$$g(z) = [S(\psi(z))]^{-1} \tag{2}$$

It is clear that  $g \in L^\infty(C)$ . We claim that  $g \in E^1(D)$ , but  $g \notin E^p(D)$  for all  $p > 1$ . Indeed,

$$g(\varphi(w)) \varphi'(w) = G(w) \in H^1,$$

but

$$g(\varphi(w))[\varphi'(w)]^{1/p} = [S(w)]^{1/p-1}[G(w)]^{1/p} \notin H^p$$

if  $p > 1$ . Thus for given  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ ,  $[g]^{1/p} \in E^p(C) \cap L^q(C)$ , but  $[g]^{1/p} \notin E^q(C)$ . This proves Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* If  $p > 1$ , then  $A^p(C) \subset A^1(C) = E^1(C)$  and  $A^p(C) \subset L^p(C)$ . If  $D$  is a Smirnov domain, Theorem 2 allows us to conclude that  $A^p(C) \subset E^p(C)$ . But since the reverse inclusion holds for every rectifiable Jordan curve  $C$ , this implies  $A^p(C) = E^p(C)$ .

Now suppose that  $D$  is not a Smirnov domain, and again consider the function  $g$  defined in (2). We have already seen that  $g \in L^\infty(C)$  but  $g \notin E^p(C)$  if  $p > 1$ . On the other hand,

$$\int_C g(z) z^n dz = \int_{|w|=1} G(w)[\varphi(w)]^n dw = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

since  $G \in H^1$  and  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ . This shows  $g \in A^p(C)$  for all  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

These results indicate the importance of finding useful conditions for a Jordan domain  $D$  with rectifiable boundary  $C$  to be a Smirnov domain. One sufficient condition is that

$$\log f'(z) \in H^1, \quad (3)$$

where  $f$  is a conformal mapping of the unit disk onto  $D$ . In particular,  $D$  is a Smirnov domain if the local rotation  $\arg\{f'(z)\}$  has a one-sided bound. This will be the case, for instance, if  $D$  is a starlike domain, or if  $C$  is an analytic curve. Tumarkin [11] and Shapiro [8] have found other sufficient conditions.

The question arises whether the condition (3) actually characterizes the Smirnov domains. By means of the following theorem of Duren, Shapiro, and Shields [2], we shall reduce this question to a purely "real-variable" problem.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $\mu(t)$  be a real-valued left-continuous function of bounded variation over  $[0, 2\pi]$ , and let*

$$\mu(t) = \mu_s(t) + \int_0^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau$$

*be its canonical decomposition into singular and absolutely continuous components. Let*

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t).$$

*Then there exists a constant  $a > 0$  such that  $\exp\{-aF(z)\}$  is the derivative of a function  $f(z)$  which maps the unit disk  $|z| < 1$  conformally onto a Jordan domain, if and only if  $\mu \in \Lambda_*$ . The boundary of this domain is rectifiable if and only if  $\mu_s(t)$  is nondecreasing and  $\exp\{-a\varphi(t)\} \in L^1$ .*

*Note.* The Zygmund class  $\Lambda_*$  is familiar in approximation theory. A function  $\mu(t)$  continuous on  $[0, 2\pi]$  is said to belong to  $\Lambda_*$  if its "periodic extension" has the property

$$|\mu(t+h) - 2\mu(t) + \mu(t-h)| \leq A|h|$$

for some constant  $A$  independent of  $t$  and  $h$ .

In particular, Theorem B shows that the construction of a Jordan domain with rectifiable boundary whose mapping function  $f$  has a purely singular derivative (i.e.,  $f' = S$  in (1)), as in the example of Keldysh and Lavrentiev [6], is equivalent to the construction of a singular nondecreasing bounded function of class  $\Lambda_*$ . Piranian [7], Kahane [3], and Shapiro [9] have carried out this latter construction directly.

Before stating the next theorem, we recall the definition of a conjugate function. If  $\varphi \in L^1 = L^1(0, 2\pi)$ , then [1, Theorem 4.2] the function

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \varphi(t) dt \tag{4}$$

belongs to  $H^p$  for all  $p < 1$ . In particular,  $\text{Im}\{F(z)\}$  has a radial limit almost everywhere, which is denoted  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and is called the *conjugate function* of  $\varphi$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *There exists a Smirnov domain  $D$  such that  $\log f'(z) \notin H^1$  for every conformal mapping  $f$  of the unit disk onto  $D$ .*

This theorem is a consequence of the following lemma, to be proved at the end of the paper.

**LEMMA.** *There exists a real-valued function  $\varphi(t)$  on  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$  such that  $\varphi \in L^1$ ,  $e^{-\varphi} \in L^1$ ,  $\int \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi} \notin L^1$ .*

*Remark.* By  $\int \varphi$  is meant the indefinite integral of  $\varphi$ , say,

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau. \tag{5}$$

The slightly stronger condition  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_1$  would imply  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , hence that  $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^p$  for all  $p < \infty$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $\varphi$  have the properties described in the lemma, let  $F$  be the Poisson integral (4), and let  $\mu$  be the indefinite integral (5). Then since  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_*$ , Theorem B says that for some constant  $a > 0$ ,

$$f'(z) = \exp\{-aF(z)\} \tag{6}$$

is the derivative of a conformal mapping  $f$  of the unit disk onto a Jordan domain  $D$ . Since  $\mu_s = 0$  and  $e^{-\varphi} \in L^1$ , Theorem B also says (if we take  $a \leq 1$ ) that  $D$  has rectifiable boundary. Finally, since  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous, it is clear from (6) that  $D$  is a Smirnov domain. However, the condition  $\tilde{\varphi} \notin L^1$  implies  $F \notin H^1$ ,  $\log f'(z) \notin H^1$ . But if  $\log f'(z) \notin H^1$  for some mapping function  $f$  of the disk onto  $D$ , then the same is true for every other mapping function. This is easily seen, for example, with the harmonic majorant definition of  $H^1$ .

It is interesting to observe that, conversely, given any Smirnov domain with  $\log f'(z) \notin H^1$ , Theorem B shows that the function

$$\varphi(t) = -\log |f'(e^{it})|$$

has the properties described in the lemma. Thus the lemma is actually equivalent to Theorem 3.

*Proof of lemma.* The following construction was suggested by Y. Katznelson and K. deLeeuw (private communication). Let  $\alpha(t)$  be any bounded singular nondecreasing function of class  $\Lambda_*$ . (Such functions exist, as noted above.) Let

$$f(z) = u(z) + iv(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\alpha(t).$$

Then  $u(z) > 0$  and  $u \in h^1$ , but  $f \notin H^1$  since  $\alpha(t)$  is not absolutely continuous [1, p. 34]. Thus  $\|v_r\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ , where  $v_r(\theta) = v(re^{i\theta})$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the  $L^p$  norm. Let

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\alpha(2\pi) - \alpha(0)],$$

and define  $\beta(t)$  as the periodic extension of

$$\beta(t) = \alpha(t) - Ct, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi.$$

Then an integration by parts gives

$$U_r(\theta) = \int_0^\theta u_r(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, t) \beta(\delta + t) dt + 2\pi C\theta,$$

where

$$P(r, t) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2}$$

is the Poisson kernel. This shows that  $U_r \in \Lambda_*$  and

$$|U_r(\theta + h) - 2U_r(\theta) + U_r(\theta - h)| \leq A|h|, \quad (7)$$

where the constant  $A$  is independent of  $r$ .

Now choose a sequence  $\{r_k\}$  increasing to 1, and let

$$\varphi_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n 3^{-k} u_{r_k}(\theta).$$

Then  $\varphi_n(\theta) \rightarrow \varphi(\theta)$  a.e.,  $\varphi(\theta) \geq 0$ , and  $\varphi \in L^1$ . By the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem and by (7),

$$\mu(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \varphi(\theta) d\theta = \sum_{k=1}^\infty 3^{-k} U_{r_k}(\theta) \in \Lambda_*.$$

On the other hand,  $f \in H^p$  for all  $p < 1$ , so

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n 3^{-k} v_{r_k}(\theta) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} v_{r_k}(\theta) = \tilde{\varphi}(\theta) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

But  $\|v_r\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ , and  $\|v\|_p \rightarrow \infty$  as  $p \rightarrow 1$ . Thus we may choose  $\{r_k\}$  and a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of positive numbers increasing to 1, such that  $\|v_{r_1}\|_1 > 1$ ,  $3^{p_1} > 5/2$ ,

$$(\|v\|_{p_n})^{p_n} > 3^{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|v_{r_k}\|_1 > 3^{2n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$(\|v_{r_n}\|_{p_n})^{p_n} > \frac{8}{9} (\|v\|_{p_n})^{p_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then for  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{p_n})^{p_n} &> 3^{-np_n} (\|v_{r_n}\|_{p_n})^{p_n} - \sum_{k \neq n} 3^{-kp_n} (\|v_{r_k}\|_{p_n})^{p_n} \\ &> 3^{-n-2} 8 (\|v\|_{p_n})^{p_n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|v_{r_k}\|_1 - (\|v\|_{p_n})^{p_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 3^{-kp_n} \\ &> [3^{-n-2} 8 - 3^{-n-2} - 3^{-np_n} (3^{p_n} - 1)^{-1}] (\|v\|_{p_n})^{p_n} \\ &> 3^{-n-2} 3^{2n} = 3^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{p_n} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows that  $\hat{\varphi} \notin L^1$ . This concludes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge a helpful conversation with H. S. Shapiro concerning Theorem 2. I also thank D. Sarason for supplying the above proof that  $\hat{\varphi} \notin L^1$ .

REFERENCES

1. P. L. DUREN, "Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces," Academic Press, New York, 1970.
2. P. L. DUREN, H. S. SHAPIRO, AND A. L. SHIELDS, Singular measures and domains not of Smirnov type, *Duke Math. J.* **33** (1966), 247-254.
3. J.-P. KAHANE, Trois notes sur les ensembles parfaits linéaires, *Enseignement Math.* **15** (1969), 185-192.
4. Y. KATZNELSON, "An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1968.

5. M. V. KELDYSH, On a class of extremal polynomials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **4** (13) (1936), no. 4 (108), 163–166, in Russian.
6. M. V. KELDYSH AND M. A. LAVRENTIEV, Sur la représentation conforme des domaines limités par des courbes rectifiables, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **54** (1937), 1–38.
7. G. PIRANIAN, Two monotonic, singular, uniformly almost smooth functions, *Duke Math. J.* **33** (1966), 255–262.
8. H. S. SHAPIRO, Remarks concerning domains of Smirnov type, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 341–348.
9. H. S. SHAPIRO, Monotonic singular functions of high smoothness, *Michigan Math. J.* **15** (1968), 265–275.
10. V. I. SMIRNOV, Sur les formules de Cauchy et de Green et quelques problèmes qui s'y rattachent, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **7** (1932), 337–372.
11. G. C. TUMARKIN, A sufficient condition for a domain to belong to class  $S$ , *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **17** (1962), 47–55, in Russian.